CEIVED 2166-08476

SFUND RECORDS CTR

φιτοler

AUG 1 5 1996

MO 002

LOIS J. SCHIFFER 88137894 U.S. EPA Region 9 Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division PLED DETRICT COURT 2 United States Department of Justice 3 JUL 3 0 1896 DAVID B. GLAZER Environmental Enforcement Section Environment & Natural Resources Division ENTITL DISTRICT OF CAUPORNIA United States Department of Justice 5 301 Howard Street, Suite 870 San Francisco, California 94105 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT 6 Telephone: (415) 744-6491 7 MAR | . 1 1996 NORA M. MANELLA 8 United States Attorney CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LEON W. WEIDMAN Assistant United States Attorney 9 Chief, Civil Division CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUR KURT ZIMMERMAN Assistant United States Attorney Federal Building, Room 7516 11 AUG | 1998 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, California 90012 12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Telephone: (213) 894-5709

Attorneys for Plaintiff, United States of America (Attorneys for Plaintiffs continued on next page)

> IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

19

13

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

28

ALLIED-SIGNAL, INC., et al.,

21 Defendants.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff.

25

ALLIED-SIGNAL, INC., et al.,

26 Defendants.

27

CIVIL NO. 93-6490-MRP(Tx)

PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE

THIS CONSTITUTES NOTICE OF ENTRY AS REQUIRED BY FRCP, RULE 77(d).

PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE

NANCY J. MARVEL Regional Counsel MARIE M. RONGONE Assistant Regional Counsel 3 United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street 4 San Francisco, California 94105 5 Telephone: (415) 744-1313 Attorneys for Plaintiff, United States of America 6 7 DANIEL E. LUNGREN 8 Attorney General THEODORA BERGER 9 Senior Assistant Attorney General DONALD A. ROBINSON 10 ANN RUSHTON Deputy Attorneys General 11 California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, #500 Los Angeles, California 12 Telephone: (213) 897-2608 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff, State of California 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

(

		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	I.	BACKGROUND
3	II.	DEFINITIONS
4	III.	JURISDICTION
5	IV.	PARTIES BOUND
6	v.	REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS
7	VI.	COVENANTS NOT TO SUE AND RESERVATIONS OF RIGHTS 18
8	VII.	CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION
9	VIII.	NHOU SITE ACCESS
10	IX.	ACCESS TO INFORMATION
11	x.	RETENTION OF RECORDS
12	XI.	NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS
13	XII.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
14	XIII.	LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
15	xiv.	SECTION HEADINGS
16	xv.	SIGNATORIES
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		·
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

I. BACKGROUND

On October 26, 1993, the United States of 2 COMPLAINTS. 3 America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and, on 4 October 29, 1993, the State of California ("State"), on behalf of 5 the State Department of Toxic Substances Control (formerly, the 6 7 Toxic Substances Control Program of the State Department of 8 Health Services), filed complaints in this matter pursuant to Sections 107 and 113 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, 9 Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"), as amended, 10 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613. Both the United States and the State 111 ("Plaintiffs") filed, prior to or concurrently with the lodging 12 13 of this Consent Decree, amended complaints, which add additional 14 defendants to the original complaints. In the amended 151 complaints, the Plaintiffs seek recovery of response costs 16 incurred by the Plaintiffs in connection with actions taken pursuant to CERCLA in response to releases and threatened 17 releases of hazardous substances from the Defendants' facilities 18 l 191 in the San Fernando Valley Groundwater Basin ("Basin") and at the 20 North Hollywood Operable Unit Site ("NHOU Site") within the Basin. 21

B. <u>SITE DESCRIPTION</u>.

1

22

23

24

25

26

27

1. <u>Basin</u>. The San Fernando Valley Superfund Sites ("SFV Sites") are located in the eastern half of the Basin, between the San Gabriel and the Santa Monica Mountains, in Los Angeles County, California. EPA has divided the SFV Sites in two different ways. For the purpose of placing the SFV Sites on the National Priorities List ("NPL"), EPA divided the SFV Sites into

the following four areas based on the location of drinking water well fields that were known to be contaminated by volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") in 1984: Area 1 (North Hollywood Area), Area 2 (Crystal Springs Area), Area 3 (Verdugo Basin), and Area 4 (Pollock Area). Once more was known about the extent of groundwater contamination and for the purpose of accelerating the investigation and cleanup of the SFV Sites, EPA divided the SFV Sites into the following five Operable Units ("OUs"): North Hollywood (the NHOU Site), Burbank, Glendale North, Glendale South, and Pollock.

- 2. NHOU Site. This Consent Decree focuses on the NHOU Site, originally listed as part of the San Fernando Valley Area 1/North Hollywood Area NPL site. The NHOU Site is comprised of the areal extent of hazardous substance groundwater contamination that is presently located in the vicinity of the North Hollywood Well Field and includes any areas to which and from which such hazardous substance groundwater contamination migrates.
- c. NATURE OF SITE CONTAMINATION. Tests conducted in the early 1980s to determine the presence of certain industrial chemicals in the State's drinking water revealed extensive VOC contamination in the Basin's groundwater. The primary contaminants of concern were and are the solvents trichloroethene ("TCE") and tetrachloroethene ("PCE"), widely used in a variety of industries including metal plating, machinery degreasing, and dry cleaning. By August 1985, groundwater from 27 of the 35 production wells in the North Hollywood Well Field alone exceeded the Federal Maximum Contaminant Level ("MCL") for TCE. MCLs are

1 drinking water standards established under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 300f et seq. Other VOC contaminants in the Basin have also been detected above their MCLs. As a result of this groundwater contamination, many production wells have been taken out of service, despite the fact that the Basin's groundwater has been used to supply the domestic water needs of approximately 800,000 people. According to recent estimates, the plumes of TCE contamination above the MCL in the Basin's groundwater extend over an area eleven miles long and as great as three miles wide.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 |

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- D. NPL LISTING. In June 1986, EPA placed the SFV Sites, which include the NHOU Site, on the NPL (see 51 Federal Register 21054). The NPL is promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, and is a list of the most seriously contaminated hazardous substances sites in the country (see 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B). As stated in Section I.B.1 above, the SFV Sites listed on the NPL are Area 1 (North Hollywood Area), Area 2 (Crystal Springs Area), Area 3 (Verdugo Basin), and Area 4 (Pollock Area). The original boundaries of the SFV Sites were based on the location of the drinking water well fields that were known to be contaminated by VOCs in 1984. Groundwater data collected since 1984 show that VOC groundwater contamination extends beyond the original boundaries drawn at the time the SFV Sites were placed on the NPL.
- In 1985, EPA determined that the most OU DESIGNATION. Ε. effective way of dealing with the spreading groundwater contamination in the Basin was to divide the SFV Sites into OUs. Each OU represents a discrete, interim remedial action that will

inhibit the migration of contamination in the groundwater prior to the completion of a Basin-wide Remedial Investigation ("RI") and Feasibility Study ("FS") and selection of any Basin-wide remedial actions. As stated in Section I.B.1 above, EPA has identified the following five OUs: North Hollywood (the NHOU Site), Burbank, Glendale North, Glendale South, and Pollock. EPA has issued Record of Decision ("ROD") documents selecting interim remedial actions for four of these OUs: NHOU Site (1987), Burbank OU (1989), and Glendale North and South OUs (1993).

11 |

- F. NHOU SITE FS AND ROD. In November 1986, pursuant to a cooperative agreement with EPA and the State of California, the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power ("LADWP") completed an OU FS for the NHOU Site. After providing an opportunity for the public to comment on the completed OU FS, in September 1987, EPA issued a ROD for the NHOU Site. The interim remedial action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD is fifteen years of groundwater extraction and treatment.
- G. NHOU SITE INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION. In 1989, pursuant to another cooperative agreement with EPA and the State of California, LADWP constructed the NHOU Site groundwater extraction and treatment facilities. These facilities pump out contaminated groundwater, remove the contaminants from the groundwater, and convey the treated groundwater to LADWP's pump station for distribution to the public. Consistent with Section 104(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(c)(3), EPA paid for ninety percent and the State paid for ten percent of the construction costs of the extraction and treatment facilities; and EPA is paying for ninety percent and the State is responsible for paying

ten percent of the operating costs of the NHOU Site interim remedial action. Pursuant to its cooperative agreement with EPA and the State of California, LADWP will continue to operate and maintain the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

111

12

13

14

15

16

17

181

- BASIN-WIDE GROUNDWATER AND SOIL CLEANUP ACTIVITIES. H. Remediation of groundwater in the Basin is a collaborative undertaking of EPA, the State, LADWP, and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region ("RWQCB"). December 1992, pursuant to another cooperative agreement with EPA, LADWP completed the Phase 1 Basin-wide groundwater RI. EPA is currently preparing a Basin-wide groundwater FS. In addition to groundwater investigation and remediation activities, EPA, in conjunction with the State and RWQCB, has conducted and continues to conduct soil investigations at individual facilities throughout the Basin to uncover potential sources of groundwater contamination. In September 1989, EPA entered into a cooperative agreement with RWQCB to provide funds to augment the State's program to investigate sources of groundwater contamination in the Easin.
- PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGATION OF DEFENDANTS' LIABILITY. The 20 I. Plaintiffs allege that: (i) the past, present, or potential 21 22 migrations of "hazardous substances," as defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), from the Defendants' 23 "facilities," as defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 24 § 9601(9), constitute actual or threatened "releases," as defined 25 26 in Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(22); (ii) the Defendants are persons subject to liability under Section 107(a) 27 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a); (iii) the releases or threatened

releases of hazardous substances from the Defendants' facilities have caused the Plaintiffs to incur and to continue to incur "response" costs, within the meaning of Section 101(25) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(25); and (iv) the actions taken by the Plaintiffs in response to releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances from the Defendants' facilities were not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan.

SETTLING DEFENDANTS' DENIAL OF LIABILITY. J. Defendants that have entered into this Consent Decree ("Settling Defendants") do not admit and expressly deny any liability to the Plaintiffs arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the amended complaints or as set forth above. The Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants agree that neither this Consent Decree, nor the entry into settlement, nor any payments pursuant to this Consent Decree shall constitute or be construed as a finding or an admission, adjudication or acknowledgement of any fact or law, or of any liability, fault or wrongdoing, or evidence of such, or an admission of violation of any law, rule or regulation by Settling Defendants nor as an estoppel or waiver of any defenses of Settling Defendants except as provided in Section VI.G of this Consent Decree.

K. PURPOSE.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 1

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1. Pursuant to a cooperative agreement with EPA and the State of California, LADWP is implementing the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD. The purpose of this Consent Decree is to avoid prolonged litigation and to provide for the Settling Defendants' payment of specified amounts of the past and future response costs for the NHOU Site

Interim Remedial Action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD and of the past costs of Basin-wide investigations relating to their facilities located at the NHOU Site in full and complete satisfaction of any and all claims against Settling Defendants for such costs.

- 2. The parties to this Consent Decree ("Parties") recognize that the Settling Defendants' payment represents only a part of the total cost of the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD and of the past costs of Basin-wide investigations relating to the facilities located at the NHOU Site.
- 3. In entering into this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs have considered the circumstances of the releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances in the Basin, the involvement of the Settling Defendants in the ownership and/or operation of facilities located at the NHOU Site and the willingness and capacity of Settling Defendants and the other Defendants to resolve this matter.
- 4. The Parties agree, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the NHOU Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

THEREFORE, with the consent of the parties to this Consent Decree, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

27 |

19 H

25 |

II. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in any appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following definitions shall apply:

- A. "Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean all costs that the Plaintiffs have incurred or may incur for Basin-wide/nonoperable unit specific investigations or other non-operable unit specific response actions.
- B. "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 et seq.
- C. "Certification of Completion" shall mean EPA's certification pursuant to Section 122(f)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(f)(3), that all remedial actions have been completed that relate to the NHOU Site in accordance with the requirements of the National Contingency Plan and any applicable Record of Decision.
- D. "Consent Decree" shall mean this Decree and any attached appendices. In the event of conflict between this Decree and any appendix, this Decree shall control.
- E. "Day" shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal Holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

F. "EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of the United States.

(:

1 |

2

3 |

4

5 |

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 |

18 |

19∦

20 l

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 |

28

£_)

- G. "Future Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean all Basin-wide response costs that EPA has incurred or will incur after April 30, 1992 and that the State has incurred or will incur after December 31, 1993.
- H. "Interest," in accordance with Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507. In calculating interest, Plaintiffs may compound on a monthly or annual basis.
- I. "Interim Remedial Action" shall mean the interim remedial action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD.
- J. "North Hollywood Operable Unit" or "NHOU Site" shall mean the areal extent of hazardous substance groundwater contamination that is presently located in the vicinity of the North Hollywood Well Field and includes any areas to which and from which such hazardous substance groundwater contamination migrates. EPA has determined that each of the Settling Defendants has owned and/or operated and/or currently owns and/or operates facilities that are located at the NHOU Site and/or has arranged for the disposal of hazardous substances at a facility located at the NHOU Site.
- K. "Parties" shall mean the United States, the State of California, and the Settling Defendants.
 - L. "Past Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean Basin-wide

Response Costs incurred by EPA prior to and including April 30, 1992 and Basin-wide Response Costs incurred by the State prior to and including December 31, 1993.

- M. "Plaintiffs" shall mean the United States and the State of California.
- N. "Releasees" shall mean Settling Defendants and their officers, directors, employees and agents, and where the Settling Defendant is a trustee, its successor trustees appointed to carry out the purposes of said trust; and where the Settling Defendant is a corporate entity, its corporate successors to potential liability for the NHOU Site. "Releasees" shall also mean the following named entities associated with one or more of the Settling Defendants:

Affiliates of Waste Management Recycling & Disposal Services of California, Inc. (currently known as Waste Management, Inc.):

Waste Management Disposal Services of California, Inc.

("WMDSC") f/k/a Valley Reclamation Co.; Bradley Landfill and
Recycling Center, a division of WMDSC; Waste Management of
California, Inc., including, but not limited to, its divisions
Waste Management of Los Angeles-North, f/k/a Waste Management of
San Fernando Valley, Waste Management of Los Angeles-South, f/k/a
Waste Management of Gardena, and Universal Refuse Removal of El
Cajon, f/k/a Universal Refuse Removal, Inc.; Waste Management
Collection and Recycling, Inc., f/k/a Inland Disposal, Inc.,
including, but not limited to its divisions American Waste
Systems, f/k/a American Waste Systems, Inc. and Waste Transfer
and Recycling f/k/a Waste Transfer and Recycling, Inc.; Waste
Management, Inc., and WMX Technologies, Inc.

Affiliates of Lockheed Martin Corporation (f/k/a Lockheed

Corporation) and/or Airport Group International, Inc. (f/k/a

Lockheed Air Terminal, Inc.:

Lockheed Martin Corporation and its current and former subsidiaries, divisions and affiliates, including but not limited to Lockheed Martin Aeronautical Systems, f/k/a Lockheed Aeronautical Systems Company; and Lockheed Martin Skunk Works, f/k/a Lockheed Advanced Development Company; Airport Group International, Inc., f/k/a/ Lockheed Air Terminal, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Airport Group International Holdings, L.L.C., Lockheed Missiles & Space Company, Inc.; and Lockheed Corporation.

Affiliates of CalMat Co.:

18#

CalMat Land Co., CalMat Properties Co., CalMat of Central California, CC Plaza Co., Coast Asphalt, Inc., Huntmix, Inc., Industrial Asphalt, Kirst Construction Co., Inc., Reliance Land Co., Reliance Transport Co., Rio Norte Este Co., River Vista Development Co., River Bend Corp., Sanger Rock and Sand, Sloan Canyon Sand Co., Triangle Rock Products, Inc., Western Thermal Soils Co.

Affiliates of Pick Your Part Auto Wrecking:

Sun Valley Pick-Your-Part Auto Wrecking; Pick Your Part Auto Recycling; The City Tow; Memory Lane Collector Car Parts;
Insurance Salvage Service; Master Mining Systems; Multi-Metals;
Pick Your Car; Yermo Signs; Cook, Carlton & Lee Advertising
Company; Top Line Lien Sales; Help Yourself Auto Wrecking; Sun
Valley Repair Shop; Hayward Associates; Contamination Clean-Up of

1 | California, Inc.

2 Affiliates of Niels Bruun Andersen, as Trustee of the Erik and

3 Else Bruun-Andersen Trust. and of Pacific Steel Treating Co...

Inc.:

5 Niels-Bruun Andersen

608 Batcheller Lane

7 Sioux Falls, SD 57105

8

4

6

9 Erik Andersen

10 608 Batcheller Lane

11 Sioux Falls, SD 57105

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

However, Releasees shall not include any person or entity with liability for the NHOU Site independent of that person's or entity's association with a Settling Defendant.

- O. "Settling Defendants" shall mean CalMat Co.; Fleetwood Machine Products, Inc.; Airport Group International, Inc. (f/k/a Lockheed Air Terminal, Inc.); Lockheed Martin Corporation (f/k/a Lockheed Corporation); Pacific Steel Treating Company, Inc.; Pick Your Part Auto Wrecking; Waste Management Recycling and Disposal Services of California, Inc.; Niels Bruun-Andersen, in his capacity as Trustee of the Erik and Else Bruun-Andersen Trust; and William L. Cooke and Jerry Conrow, in their capacity as Trustees of the Amended Cooke Family Trust.
 - P. "State" shall mean the State of California.
- Q. "United States" shall mean the United States of America.
 - R. "1987 NHOU ROD" shall mean the EPA Record of Decision

relating to the North Hollywood Operable Unit of the San Fernando Valley Area 1/North Hollywood Area National Priorities List site that was signed in September 1987 by the EPA Region IX Deputy Regional Administrator, acting for the Regional Administrator, and all attachments thereto.

S. "1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs" shall mean all past and future costs that the Plaintiffs or any other person have incurred or will incur for implementation of the remedy selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD.

III. JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of this Court or to venue in this District and shall not challenge the entry of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

IV. PARTIES BOUND

This Consent Decree is binding upon the Plaintiffs, and upon the Settling Defendants and their heirs, successors, and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status, including but not limited to any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter the status or responsibilities of the Settling Defendants under this Consent Decree.

3 |

V. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. <u>PAYMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS</u>. Except as otherwise provided in Paragraph V.F, within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent Decree, each Settling Defendant shall pay the settlement amount it is obligated to pay pursuant to Paragraph V.F below to the United States and to the State for 1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs and Past Basin-wide Response Costs.
- FORM OF PAYMENT. Payment to the United States by each B. Settling Defendant shall be made in accordance with instructions provided by Plaintiff United States to the Settling Defendants upon execution of the Consent Decree. Of the total amount to be paid to EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, \$ 2,933,000 shall be deposited in the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund as reimbursement for past response costs incurred at or in connection with the Site as of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, and \$ 1,817,000 ("the Remainder") shall be deposited in the NHOU Special Account to be retained and used to conduct or finance the response action at or in connection with the Site. Any balance remaining in the NHOU Special Account after completion of the response at or in connection with the Site shall be deposited in the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund. Payment to the State shall be made in the form of a certified check or cashier's check made payable to "Cashier, Department of Toxic Substances Control, " and shall be forwarded to:

Department of Toxic Substances Control State of California Accounting Office 400 P Street, 4th Floor Sacramento, California 95814 Each Settling Defendant shall send a transmittal letter with the check referencing the North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site, Project Nos. 300126 and 300287. Each Settling Defendant shall also send a copy of its check and transmittal letter to the State as specified in Section XI.

C. FAILURE TO MAKE TIMELY PAYMENTS

11 1

15 i

16 i

19 !

- 1. Interest on Late Payments. In the event that any payments required under Section V are not made when due, interest on the unpaid amount shall begin to accrue thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Consent Decree, at the rate specified in Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), through the date of payment.
- 2. Stipulated Penalties. If any amounts due to the Plaintiffs under this Consent Decree are not paid by the required date, the delinquent Settling Defendant shall pay as a stipulated penalty, in addition to the interest required by Section V.C.1 above, \$1000 for the first 30 days and \$5,000 thereafter per day that such payment is late. Stipulated penalties are due and payable within thirty (30) days of the delinquent Settling Defendant's receipt from either Plaintiff of a demand for payment of the penalties. All payments of stipulated penalties to the United States shall be made in the form of a certified check or cashier's check made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund," and shall be forwarded to:
 - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251

Attention: Collection Officer for Superfund

28 The delinquent Settling Defendant shall send a transmittal letter

with the check referencing the North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site and the civil action number 93-6490-MRP(Tx), and shall also state that the funds are to be applied to site spill identifier numbers N1 and 59. The delinquent Settling Defendant shall also send copies of the check and transmittal letter to the United States as specified in Section XI. All payments of stipulated penalties to the State shall be made in the form and manner specified in Section V.B above. shall accrue as provided above regardless of whether Plaintiffs have notified the delinquent Settling Defendant of the violation or made a demand for payment, but need only be paid upon demand. However, payment shall be considered timely with respect to each Settling Defendant so long as the Settling Defendant has given timely instructions to a competent financial institution for the subject Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT") to be made in a timely manner, and has promptly upon the transfer obtained a written verification from the financial institution that the EFT was made in accordance with the Settling Defendant's instructions.

- D. <u>COLLECTION ACTIONS</u>. If either Plaintiff must bring an action to collect any payment required by this Consent Decree, the delinquent Settling Defendant shall reimburse the Plaintiff bringing the action for all costs of such action, including but not limited to costs of attorney time.
- E. <u>RELATION TO OTHER REMEDIES</u>. Payments made under Section V shall be in addition to any other remedies or sanctions available to the Plaintiffs by virtue of a delinquent Settling

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Defendant's failure to make timely payments required by this Consent Decree.

2

3

4

5

18

191

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

F. PAYMENT SCHEDULE. The Settling Defendants shall pay the United States and the State the following sums, when and in the manner described in paragraphs V.A and B, above.

6 United States State of California 7 Lockheed Martin Corporation f/k/a Lockheed Corporation/ Airport Group International, Inc. f/k/a 8 Lockheed Air Terminal, Inc. \$ 2,600,000 \$ 133,572 9 10 Calmat Co./Pick Your Part \$ 78,215 \$ 1,500,000 Auto Wrecking/Waste Management Disposal Services of California, 11 Inc. 12 Pacific Steel Treating Company/ 13 Inc./Niels Bruun-Andersen, as Trustee of Erik and Else Bruun-14 Andersen Trust 325,000 \$ 16,947 15 Fleetwood Machine Products, \$ 325,000 16,947 \$ Inc./William L. Cooke and 16 Jerry Conrow, as Trustees of the Amended Cooke Family Trust 17

In lieu of the lump sum settlement payment specified in
Paragraph F above, the following Settling Defendants: Fleetwood
Machine Products, Inc., William L. Cooke and Jerry Conrow, as
Trustees of the Amended Cooke Family Trust, hereinafter
"Installment Settlors," may make payments as follows:

Installment Settlors shall pay \$ 75,000 when and in the manner described in Paragraphs V.A. and B above in accordance with instructions provided by Plaintiff United States to the Installment Settlors upon execution of the Consent Decree. The Installment Settlors shall pay the balance owed to Plaintiff United States within six months of entry of the Consent Decree. Interest shall accrue up to the date the balance, including any

accrued interest, is paid.

It is understood between Plaintiffs and Installment Settlors that the Installment Settlors shall attempt to sell the real property known as 2902 Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona, in satisfaction of their remaining \$ 250,000 obligation to Plaintiff United States under this Consent Decree. It is understood by Plaintiff State and Installment Settlors that all sale proceeds in excess of \$ 250,000 shall be immediately applied to pay some or all of the Installment Settlors' liability to Plaintiff State. In the event no real property sale proceeds are available, the Installment Settlors shall pay their liability to the State of California in accordance with instructions provided by Plaintiff State to Installment Settlors, in two equal payments, the first being due within six months of entry of this Consent Decree, and the balance owed to Plaintiff State within one year of entry of this Consent Decree.

VI. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE AND RESERVATIONS OF RIGHTS

A. <u>PLAINTIFFS' COVENANT NOT TO SUE</u>. In consideration of the settlement payments that will be made by each Settling Defendant under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Sections VI.B, VI.C, VI.E, and VI.F, the Plaintiffs covenant not to sue or to take administrative action against such Settling Defendant and such additional Releasees as are defined in Section II, pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and comparable state law, including but not limited to the California Hazardous Substance Account Act, Health and Safety Code Section 25300, et seg., and/or common law with regard to all

1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs and all Past Basin-wide Response The covenant not to sue shall take effect as to each Settling Defendant and such additional Releasees as are defined in Section II, other than the Installment Settlors, upon the receipt by Plaintiffs of the payments of that Settling Defendant required by Section V; and as to each Installment Settlor, upon the receipt by the Plaintiffs of the initial payments required by Section V of that Settling Defendant. The covenant not to sue as to each Settling Defendant is conditioned upon the Settling Defendant making all of the payments required of that Settling Defendant by this Consent Decree. The covenant not to sue extends only to the Settling Defendants and the Releasees as defined in Section II, and does not extend to any other person. In the event of any breach by a Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree, the covenant not to sue shall remain in effect as to the other Settling Defendants and Releasees despite said breach.

2

3 #

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

111

12

13 I

14 1

15

16

17 l

18

19 |

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

B. <u>PLAINTIFFS' PRE-CERTIFICATION RESERVATIONS</u>.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel the Settling Defendants (i) to perform further response actions relating to the NHOU Site or (ii) to reimburse Plaintiffs for costs of response related to such further response actions, if prior to the Certification of Completion:

 conditions at the NHOU Site, previously unknown to the Plaintiffs, are discovered, or 2. information, previously unknown to the Plaintiffs, is received, in whole or in part, and these previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant information indicates that any remedial action taken at the NHOU Site is not protective of human health or the environment. As of the date of entry of this Consent Decree, EPA agrees that the interim remedial measures being implemented at the NHOU Site under the 1987 NHOU ROD are protective of human health and the environment.

19!

- C. PLAINTIFFS' POST-CERTIFICATION RESERVATIONS.

 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel the Settling Defendants (i) to perform further response actions relating to the NHOU Site or (ii) to reimburse the Plaintiffs for such costs of response if, subsequent to the Certification of Completion:
 - conditions at the NHOU Site, previously unknown to the Plaintiffs, are discovered, or
 - information, previously unknown to the Plaintiffs,
 is received, in whole or in part,
- and these previously unknown conditions or this information together with other relevant information indicate that any remedial action taken at the NHOU Site is not protective of human health or the environment.
- D. <u>INFORMATION AND CONDITIONS KNOWN TO THE PLAINTIFFS</u>.

 For purposes of Section VI.B, the information and the conditions

known to the Plaintiffs shall include only that information and those conditions set forth in the 1987 NHOU ROD, the administrative record supporting the 1987 NHOU ROD, the San Fernando Valley Phase I Groundwater RI, December 1992, and all documents submitted to EPA in response to CERCLA Section 104(e) inquiries or other EPA requests prior to September 1, 1995. For purposes of Section VI.C, the information and the conditions known to the Plaintiffs shall include the information and conditions known to the Plaintiffs for purposes of Section VI.B, and that information and those conditions set forth in (i) any future Explanation(s) of Significant Differences, ROD(s), or Amendment(s) to any ROD(s) relating to the NHOU Site; (ii) the administrative record supporting any future Explanations of Significant Differences, ROD(s), or Amendments to any ROD(s) relating to the NHOU Site, (iii) all documents submitted to EPA in response to CERCLA Section 104(e) inquiries or other EPA requests prior to issuance of the Certification of Completion; and (iv) the record for the NHOU Site maintained by EPA following issuance of any ROD(s) but prior to issuance of the Certification of Completion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- E. <u>PLAINTIFFS' GENERAL RESERVATION OF RIGHTS</u>. The covenant not to sue set forth above does not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified in Section VI.A. The Plaintiffs reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against each Settling Defendant with respect to all other matters, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - 1. claims based on a failure by that Settling Defend-

ant to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;

- 2. liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of hazardous substances outside of the NHOU Site;
- 3. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources;
- 4. liability for response costs to enforce CERCLA or any other federal environmental law that have been or may be incurred by any federal agencies other than EPA or the Department of Justice on behalf of EPA;
- 5. liability for response costs to enforce CERCLA or any state environmental law that has been or may be incurred by any state agencies other than DTSC or the State Department of Justice on behalf of DTSC; and
- 6. criminal liability.

F. PLAINTIFFS' NHOU SITE-SPECIFIC RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.

The covenant not to sue set forth above specifically does not pertain to the performance of any RI/FS other than the 1986 OU/FS that formed the basis for the 1987 NHOU ROD; additional response actions that may be implemented pursuant to any final remedy or pursuant to any future Explanation(s) of Significant Differences, ROD(s), or Amendment(s) to any ROD(s); costs or activities related to any OU other than the NHOU Site, including any future OU(s); or any unknown environmental condition as to which Plaintiffs have reserved their rights in Paragraphs C and D above.

Plaintiff State currently does not fund the costs of operation and maintenance of the NHOU Site remedy and is not seeking to recover such costs in this action. Costs of operations and maintenance are being funded by the United States and LADWP pursuant to contractual agreement. However, in the event that the State subsequently incurs operations and maintenance costs due to a failure by either the United States or the LADWP to fund the operation and maintenance costs of the NHOU Site remedy, such costs are not to be considered "1987 NHOU ROD response costs" as defined in this Consent Decree and the State reserves the right to seek recovery of such operations and maintenance costs from any potentially responsible party, including each of the Settling Defendants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

191

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SETTLING DEFENDANTS' RESERVATION OF RIGHTS. Defendants reserve any and all defenses or rights they may have with respect to any actions concerning the NHOU Site except any rights expressly waived in this Consent Decree. Defendants retain any and all rights, claims, remedies and defenses that they have or may have against any person or entity not expressly waived in this Consent Decree, except for rights, claims and remedies any Settling Defendant has or may have against any other Settling Defendant(s) or Releasees for matters addressed in this Consent Decree, which are hereby expressly waived. This reservation shall not affect each Settling Defendant's obligation to perform its obligation under this Consent Decree, and shall not affect EPA's ability to assess stipulated penalties in accordance with Section V.C.2 (Stipulated 28 Penalties).

<u>SETTLING DEFENDANTS' COVENANT</u>. The Settling Defendants H. hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against either Plaintiff with respect to 1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs and Past Basin-wide Response Costs including, but not limited to, (i) any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507), under CERCLA §§106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, or 113, or any other provision of law; (ii) any claim against the United States or the State, including any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States or State pursuant to Sections 107 and 113 of CERCLA related to the 1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs or the Past Basin-wide Response Costs; or (iii) any claims arising out of response activities at the NHOU Site. However, and notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be interpreted as waiving, abrogating, or resolving (1) any claims which any Settling Defendant has or may have based upon any alleged liability which the United States Department of Defense, any branch or division thereof, or any predecessor agency has or may have for conditions at the NHOU Site pursuant to CERCLA Section 106, 107, 113, 120 or 310, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, 9613, 9620 or 9659, or RCRA Section 7002, 42 U.S.C. § 6972, or (2) any claims which any Settling Defendant has or may have with respect to the 1987 NHOU ROD response costs or Past Basin-wide Response Costs against the United States pursuant to any contract between any Settling Defendant and the United States or any government contractor(s). Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 11 § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

111

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

191

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION VII.

- A. Except for the Releasees as defined in Section II, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Consent Decree. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the NHOU Site against any person not a party hereto or a Releasee.
- В. With regard to claims for contribution against the Releasees for matters addressed in this Consent Decree, the Parties hereto agree that the Releasees are entitled to the protection from contribution actions or claims provided by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2).
- "Matters addressed in this Consent Decree" mean 1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs and Past Basin-wide Response Costs and shall include any claim for such costs that either Plaintiff has or may have against any Releasee with respect to any facility located within the NHOU Site, subject only to the following qualifications and exceptions. "Matters addressed in this Consent Decree" shall include, but not be limited to, any claim for such costs that either Plaintiff has or may have against CalMat Co. or any Releasees described in Section II above as affiliates of CalMat Co. with respect to the facility located at 8251 Tujunga Avenue, Sun Valley, California, but shall not

include any other claim for response costs that either Plaintiff has or may have against any Releasee based upon that Releasee's CERCLA section 107(a) liability for release of a hazardous substance from a facility described in the Plaintiffs' first amended complaint(s) in these consolidated actions as a facility currently owned or operated by any Defendant other than Settling Defendants.

- D. The Settling Defendants agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters addressed in this Consent Decree they will notify the Plaintiffs in writing no later than sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim. The Settling Defendants also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters addressed in this Consent Decree they will notify in writing the Plaintiffs within sixty (60) days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, the Settling Defendants shall notify the Plaintiffs within ten (10) days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment for matters addressed in this Consent Decree and within ten (10) days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial for matters addressed in this Consent Decree.
- E. The Parties recognize and acknowledge that the settlement embodied in this Consent Decree relates only to the Interim Remedial Action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD, as well as Past Basin-wide Response Costs, and that additional remedial actions may be necessary to address the contamination at the NHOU Site. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or the State and not precluded by

this Consent Decree for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the NHOU Site, the Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res indicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States or the State in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Section VII.E affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section VI.

VIII. NHOU SITE ACCESS

- A. Commencing upon the date of entry of this Consent
 Decree and terminating upon issuance of a final ROD for the NHOU
 Site, the Settling Defendants who own property at the NHOU Site
 agree to provide the Plaintiffs and their representatives access
 at all reasonable times to their facilities located at the NHOU
 Site and any other property owned or controlled by the Settling
 Defendants to which access is required for the implementation of
 response actions for the NHOU Site, including, but not limited
 to, the following actions:
 - monitoring, investigation, remedial, or other activities at the NHOU Site;
 - verifying any data or information submitted to either Plaintiff;
 - conducting investigations relating to contamination at or near the NHOU Site;
 - 4. obtaining samples; and

5. assessing the need for, planning, or implementing response actions at or near the NHOU Site.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 /

201

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 I

To the extent Plaintiffs deem consistent with protection of human health and the environment, Plaintiffs will provide the Settling Defendant with twenty-four (24) hours' notice prior to entry to properties accessed pursuant to this Consent Decree. accessing Settling Defendants' properties pursuant to this Consent Decree, Plaintiffs shall not unreasonably interfere with Settling Defendants' business activities. However, nothing in this paragraph shall provide any Settling Defendant with any claim or cause of action whatsoever against Plaintiffs, including without limitation any claim for injunctive relief. It shall not constitute an unreasonable interference with Settling Defendants' business activities for a Plaintiff to take any action in response to an emergency deemed by such Plaintiff to constitute an endangerment to human health or the environment. Plaintiffs agree to split samples taken on property owned or controlled by a Settling Defendant if requested by the Settling Defendant.

B. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs retain all of their respective access authorities and rights, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA and any other applicable statute or regulation.

IX. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

A. The Settling Defendants shall provide to the Plaintiffs, upon request, copies of all non-privileged documents and information within their possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action, including, but not limited to, sampling,

analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action.

15 |

26 I

- B. The Settling Defendants may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to the Plaintiffs under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Documents or information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies documents or information when they are submitted to either Plaintiff, or if EPA has notified the Settling Defendants that the documents or information are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, the public may be given access to such documents or information without further notice to the Settling Defendants.
- C. The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records, and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal or state law. If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege in lieu of providing documents, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (i) the title of the document, record, or information; (ii) the date of the document, record, or information; (iii) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (iv) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (v) a description of the subject of

the document, record, or information; and (vi) the privilege asserted. However, no documents, reports, or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this or any other consent decree with the United States shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a document, the document shall be provided to Plaintiffs in redacted form to mask the privileged information only.

15 l

- D. No claim of confidentiality or privilege shall be made with respect to any document that falls within Section 104(e)(7)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7)(F).
- E. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs retain all of their respective information gathering authorities and rights, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA and any other applicable statute or regulation.

X. RETENTION OF RECORDS

- A. Until ten (10) years after the entry of this Consent Decree, each Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all records and documents now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to releases of hazardous substances or liability for response actions taken at the NHOU Site or the liability of any person for releases of hazardous substances or liability for response actions conducted and to be conducted at the NHOU Site, regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary.
- B. At the conclusion of this document retention period, the Settling Defendants shall notify the Plaintiffs at least

1 ninety (90) days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents, and, upon request by either Plaintiff, the Settling Defendants shall deliver any such records or documents to the Plaintiff who made the request. The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records, and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal or state law. If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (i) the title of the document, record, or information; (ii) the date of the document, record, or information: (iii) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (iv) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (v) a description of the subject of the document, record, or information; and (vi) the privilege asserted. However, no documents, reports, or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this or any other consent decree with the United States shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a document, the document shall be provided to Plaintiffs in redacted form to mask the privileged information only.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 i

.12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18

191

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Each Settling Defendant hereby certifies, individually, C. that it has not since notification of potential liability by the United States or the State or the filing of suit against it regarding the NHOU Site altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed, or otherwise disposed of any records, documents, or other information relating to its potential liability regarding the NHOU Site which are the sole record of factual information,

except as such documents are destroyed or altered in the ordinary course of Settling Defendants' business and in compliance with State and federal law, and have not been destroyed for an improper purpose. Each Settling Defendant further warrants that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6927.

XI. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, notice is required to be given or a document is required to be sent by one Party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, the State, and the Settling Defendants, respectively.

19 | As to the United States:

1 !

12 |

David B. Glazer
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
United States Department of Justice
301 Howard Street, Suite 870
San Francisco, California 94115

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
Re: Case No. 90-11-3-1149

As to EPA:

1 Remedial Project Manager - North Hollywood Operable Unit San Fernando Valley Superfund Site 2 Hazardous Waste Management Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 3 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105 4 Marie M. Rongone 5 Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 6 75 Hawthorne Street, RC-3-3 San Francisco, California 94105 7 As to the State of California Department of Toxic Substances 8 Control: 9 Ann Rushton Deputy Attorney General, Environment Section California Department of Justice 10 300 South Spring Street, #5000 Los Angeles, California 11 12 Chief, Site Mitigation Branch 13 Department of Toxic Substances Control, Region 3 1011 North Grandview Avenue Glendale, California 91201 14 15 As to the Settling Defendants: For Airport Group International and Lockheed Martin Corporation 17 Dominic J. Hanket, Esq. Assistant General Counsel 18 Lockheed Martin Corporation 4500 Park Granada Boulevard 191 Calabasas, CA 91399-0410 20 For CalMat Co. 21 Paul Stanford 22 General Counsel CalMat Co. 23 3200 San Fernando Road Los Angeles, California 90065 24 For Pick Your Part Auto Wrecking 25 Glenn McElroy 26 Pick-Your-Part Auto Wrecking 1301 East Orangewood Anaheim, California 92805 27 28 For Waste Management Disposal Services of California, Inc.

General Counsel 1 ! 3003 Butterfield Road 2 Oak Brook, Illinois 60521 3 and 4 P.B. "Lynn" Walker Senior Environmental Counsel 5 3900 S. Wadsworth Blvd., Ste. 800 6 Lakewood, CO 80235 7 For Pacific Steel Treating Company, Inc. and the Erik and Else 8 Bruun-Anderson Trust 9 Niels Bruun Andersen 608 Batcheller Lane Sioux Falls, SD 57105 10 Erik Andersen 11 608 Batcheller Lane 12 Sioux Falls, SD 57105 13 For William L. Cooke and Jerry N. Conrow, as Trustees of the 14 Amended Cooke Family Trust, and for Fleetwood Machine Products, 15 16 Charles H. Pomeroy McKenna & Cuneo 444 S. Flower St., 7th floor 17 90071 Los Angeles, CA 18 19 RETENTION OF JURISDICTION XII. 20 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the 21 purpose of enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree. 22 LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT XIII. 23 This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for 24 a period of thirty (30) days for public notice and comment. 25 Plaintiffs reserve the right to withdraw or withhold their 26 consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose 27 facts or considerations that indicate that this Consent Decree is

()

inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Settling Defendants

consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.

B. If for any reason this Court, or upon appeal, a higher court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable as to a Settling Defendant by written notice by such Settling Defendant to all other parties, or as to either Plaintiff by written notice by such Plaintiff to all other parties, and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between any of the remaining Parties to this Consent Decree and that Settling Defendant or Plaintiff as to whom this Consent Decree is void.

XIV. <u>SECTION HEADINGS</u>

The section headings set forth in this Consent Decree and its Table of Contents are included for convenience or reference only and shall be disregarded in the construction and interpretation of any of the provisions of this Consent Decree.

XV. BIGNATORIES

Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant to this Consent Decree, the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the United States Department of Justice, and the Deputy Attorney General of the California Department of Justice certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to this document.

so ordered this 30 day of July 1996

MARIANA R. PFAELZER

United States District Judge

2	matter	of <u>U.S. v. Allied</u>	-Signal, Inc., et al., and California v.
3	Allied.	Signal, Inc., et	al., 93-6490-MRP(Tx), North Hollywood
4	Operabl	le Unit/San Fernand	do Valley Area 1 Site.
5			FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
6			_1 ,
7	Date:	Mr. c(3/996	41.Uf
8		,	LOIS J. SCHIFFER Assistant Attorney General
9			Environment and Natural Resources Division
10			U.S. Department of Justice
11		march 12, 1996	= $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$
12	Date:	11/20012,1116	DAVID B. GLAXER /)
13			Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources
14		·.	Division U.S. Department of Justice
15			
16	Date:		
17			GERALD M. CLIFFORD Assistant Administrator for Enforcement
18			U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
19			
20	Date:		FELICIA MARCUS
21			Regional Administrator, Region IX U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
22			· ·
23	Date:		MARIE M. RONGONE
24			Assistant Regional Counsel, Region IX U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
25			
26			FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
27			- C
28			•
	r .		

1 THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the

- +	THE UNDERSIGNED TAKTIES	enter thin min compent petree in the
2	matter of <u>U.S.</u> v. Allied	i-Signal, Inc., et al., and California v
3	Allied-Signal, Inc., et	al., 93-6490-MRP(Tx), North Hollywood
4	Operable Unit/San Fernar	ndo Valley Area 1 Site.
5		FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
6		
7	Date:	1070 7 000177777
8		LOIS J. SCHIFFER Assistant Attorney General
9		Environment and Natural Resources Division
10		U.S. Department of Justice
11		
12	Date:	DAVID B. GLAZER
13		Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources
14		Division U.S. Department of Justice
15		
16		.٠ ر
17	i 1	Glass line
18	Date: 2666	- Thur Man
19		FELICIA MARCUS Regional Administrator, Region IX
20	1 4 106/	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
21	Date: January 17, 1996	MARIE M. RONGONE
22		Assistant Regional Counsel, Region IX U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
23		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
24		
25	,	FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
26	·	
27		
28		

• •	Date:	10/16/93	Transis Horning -or
3			Hamid Saebfar Chief, Site Mitigation Branch California Department of Toxic Substances Control, Region 3
8	Date:	10-17-95	ANN RUSHTON Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice
9 . 10			•
-2			
13.			
14			
15			
16		•	
17			
15			
-3 56:			
21			•
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the
2	matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP(Tx),
3	and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP(Tx),
4	North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	FOR DEFENDANTS:
6	LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION (f/k/a Lockheed Corporation)
7	AIRPORT GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. (f/k/a Lockheed Air Terminal, Inc.)
8	
9	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}}}}}}}}}$
10	Hamf H Margher
11	VINCENT N. MARAFINO Executive Vice-President, Lockheed Martin Corporation
12	1/0/0
13	Date:
14	
15	WASTE MANAGEMENT RECYCLING AND DISPOSAL SERVICES OF CALIFORNIA, INC.
16	
17	
18	
19	GREG LOUGHNANE President
20	Date:
21	
22	CALMAT CO.
23	
24	
25	A. FREDERICK GERSTELL President
26	Date:
27	
28	

-	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter linto this tonsent Decree in the
2	matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP(Tx),
3	and California v. Allied-Signal. Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP(Tx),
4	North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	FOR DEFENDANTS:
6	LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION (f/k/a Lockheed Corporation)
7	AIRPORT GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. (f/k/a Lockheed Air Terminal, Inc.)
8	
9	
10	
11	VINCENT N. MARAFINO Executive Vice-President, Lockheed Martin Corporation
12	
13	Date:
14	
15	WASTE MANAGEMENT RECYCLING AND DISPOSAL SERVICES OF CALIFORNIA, INC.
16	.· .·
17	// / /
18	Lolling
19	GREG LOUGHNANE President
20	Date: 10/24/95
21	
22	CALMAT CO.
23	
24	
25	A. FREDERICK GERSTELL President
26	Date:
27	

-	ine undersigned parties enter into this consent decree in the
2	matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP(Tx),
3	and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP(Tx),
4	North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	FOR DEFENDANTS:
6 7	LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION (f/k/a Lockheed Corporation) AIRPORT GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. (f/k/a Lockheed Air Terminal, Inc.)
8	
9	
10	
11	VINCENT N. MARAFINO Executive Vice-President, Lockheed Martin Corporation
12	
13	Date:
14	
15	WASTE MANAGEMENT RECYCLING AND DISPOSAL SERVICES OF CALIFORNIA, INC.
16	··
17	
18	
-9	GREG LOUGHNANE President
20	Date:
21	
22	CALMAT CO.
23	01411
24	Gaulstanford
25	THE FREDERICK GERSTELL EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT
26	Date: 11-6-95
27	
28	

2	PICK YOUR PART AUTO WRECKING
3	in concer
4	GLENN C. MCELROY
5	- 1.10
6	Date:
7	
8	PACIFIC STEEL TREATING
9	COMPANY, INC.
10	
11	NIELS BRUUN-ANDERSEN
12	President
13	Date:
14	
15	
16	ERIK AND ELSE BRUUN-ANDERSEN TRUST
17	
18	•
19	NIELS ERUUN-ANDERSEN
20	Trustee of the Erik and Else Bruun-Andersen Trust
21	Date:
22	•
23	FLEETWOOD MACHINE PRODUCTS, INC.
24	, and the second se
25	
26	WILLIAM COOKE
27	President
28	Date:

	near word night impouses
2	PICK-YOUR-PART AUTO WRECKING
3	
4	GLENN C. MCELROY President
5	Date: Date:
6	
7	
8 9	PACIFIC STEEL TREATING COMPANY, INC.
10	7-17-7
11	AVEL C. DRUMN ANDERGEN
12	NIELS BRUUN-ANDERSEN President
13	Date: 11-11-45
14	
15	ERIK AND ELSE BRUUN-ANDERSEN
16	TRUST
17	
18	The mustice
19	NIELS BRUUN-ANDERSEN Trustee of the Erik and Else
20	Bruun-Andersen Trust Date: //-//5
22	Date
23	FLEETWOOD MACHINE PRODUCTS, INC.
24	
25	
26	WILLIAM COOKE
27	President
28	Date:

	PICK-YOUR-PART AUTO WRECKING
2	FICA-100K-FARI ADIO WRECKING
3	
4	GLENN C. MCELROY President
5	Date: Date:
6	Date.
7	
8	PACIFIC STEEL TREATING COMPANY, INC.
و	COMPANI, INC.
10	
11	NIELS BRUUN-ANDERSEN
12	
13	Date:
14	
15	ERIK AND ELSE BRUUN-ANDERSEN
16	TRUST
17	
18	
19	NIELS BRUUN-ANDERSEN Trustee of the Erik and Else
20	Bruun-Andersen Trust
21	Date:
22	
23	FLEETWOOD MACHINE PRODUCTS, INC.
24	_1
25	William Cooke
26	WILLIAM COOKE President
27	Date: 10-17-95
28	

AMENDED COOKE FAMILY TRUST

1 |

JERRY CONROW CofTrustee of the Amended Cooke Family Trust

10-18.95 Date:

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAILING

I, Marilen Iliscupides, declare:

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. I am employed by the office of United States Attorney, Central District of California. My business address is 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 7516, Los Angeles, California 90012.

On March 14, 1996, I served a copy of:

PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE

on each person or entity named below by enclosing a copy in an envelope addressed as shown below and placing the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown below following our ordinary office practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of this office for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

Date of mailing: <u>March 14, 1996</u>. Place of mailing: <u>Los</u>
<u>Angeles, California</u>.

Addressed to: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on March 14, 1996 at Los Angeles, California.

Marilen Hiscupiles

```
SERVICE LIST
1
                      (CASE NO. CV 93-6490-MRP(Tx))
 2
3
   For Defendant Allied-Signal, Inc.:
4
    Charles F. Weiss, Esq.
   Michelle M. Carroll, Esq.
5 1
   Latham & Watkins
 6
    633 West Fifth Street
   Los Angeles, California 90071-2007
 7
   For Defendant Hawker Pacific, Inc.
8
9
   Norman Berger, Esq.
   Michael S. Mostow, Esq.
   Holleb & Coff
    55 East Monroe Street, Suite 4100
   Chicago, Illinois 60603-5896
12
   For Defendant Los Angeles By Products Co.:
13
   Lawrence F. Meyer, Esq.
14
   Raul M. Montes, Esq.
    Greenwald, Hoffman & Meyer
    500 North Brand Boulevard
15
         Suite 920
   Glendale, California 91203
16
17
    For Defendant California Car Hikers Service:
18
    Michael C. Phillips
19
    David A. Borchert
    Anderson, McPharlin & Conners
    624 South Grand Avenue
20
         19th Floor
    Los Angeles, California 90017
21
22
    For Defendants Gordon N. and Peggy M. Wagner
    and Joseph W. Basinger:
23
    Aaron Rosen, Esquire
24
    9606 S. Santa Monica Boulevard
25
         Suite 200
    Beverly Hills, California 90210
26
27
```

```
1 For Defendant Waste Management Recycling and
    Disposal Services of California, Inc.:
 2
    P.B. "Lynn" Walker, Esq.
 3
    Senior Environmental Counsel
    Waste Management, Inc.
    3900 South Wadsworth Boulevard
 4
         Suite 800
    Lakewood, Colorado 80235
 5
 6
    For Defendants Lockheed Corporation and
 7
    Lockheed Air Terminal. Inc.:
 8
    Gregory R. McClintock, Esq.
    McClintock, Weston, Benshoof,
 9
         Rochefort, Rubalcava & MacCuish
    444 S. Flower Street, 43rd Floor
    Los Angeles, California 90071
10
11
    For Defendant CalMat Co.:
12
    Barry C. Vaughan, Esq.
    Gibbs, Giden, Locher, Fleming
13
         & Acret
    One Century Plaza, 34th Floor
14
    2029 Century Park East
15
    Los Angeles, California 90067
16
    For Defendant Pick-Your-Part Auto Wrecking:
17
    Nick E. Brestoff, Esq.
18
    Radcliff, Brestoff & Frandsen
    777 South Figueroa Street
         Fortieth Floor
19
    Los Angeles, California 90017
20
21
    For Defendants Fleetwood Machine Products, Inc.:
    Pacific Steel Treating Company, Inc.: Niels Bruun-
    Andersen: William L. Cooke, and Jerry Conrow:
22
23
    Charles H. Pomeroy, Esq.
    McKenna & Cuneo
    444 S. Flower Street
24
    Los Angeles, California 90071
25
26
27
```

1 For Third-Party Defendant Inchcape, Ltd. Ann Beckert 2 | Ross & Hardies 150 N. Michigan Avenue Suite 2500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 4 Terence M. Kelly 5 Grace, Skocypec, Cosgrove & Schrim 6 5700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 300N Los Angeles, California 90036 7 For Third-Party Defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation 8 David A. Giannotti Howrey & Simon 550 South Hope Street, 14th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 11 12 For Third-Party Defendant AVX Filters Corporation Ned N. Isokawa Crosby, Heafey, Roach & May Professional Corporation 1999 Harrison Street P.O. Box 2084 Oakland, California 94604-2084 16 17 For Third-Party Defendant HR Textron, Inc. 18 Michael L. Hickok Seyfarth, Shaw, Fairweather & Geraldson 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3300 Los Angeles, California 90067-3063 20 21 For Third-Party Defendant Electronic Solutions, Inc. and Zero Corporation 22 Jeffrey Z.B. Springer Regina Liudzius Cobb 23 Demetriou, Del Guercio, Springer & Moyer 801 South Grand Avenue, 10th Floor 24 Los Angeles, California 90017-4613 25 26 27

```
1 For Third-Party Defendant Price Pfister. Inc.
   Gary J. Smith, Esq.
   Beveridge & Diamond
3
   One Sansome Street, Suite 3400
    San Francisco, California 94104-4438
4
5
   For Third-Party Defendant Browning-Ferris Industries
6
   Dean R. Massey
Parcel, Mauro, Hultin & Spaanstra
   1801 California Street, Suite 3600
   Denver, Colorado 80202-2636
8
   For Third-Party Defendant E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.
9
   G. Christian Roux
    McClintock, Weston, Benshoof, Rochefort
        Rubalcava & MacCuish
11
    444 S. Flower Street, 43rd Floor
   Los Angeles, California 90071
12
13
    For Third-Party Defendant Lockheed Corporation
14
   Elliot Hiede
   McClintock, Weston, Benshoof, Rochefort
15
        Rubalcava & MacCuish
   444 S. Flower Street, 43rd Floor
16
    Los Angeles, California 90071
17
   For Third-Party Defendant Western Waste Industries
18
   Timothy V.P. Gallagher
    Dovel & Gallagher
    611 West Sixth Street, Suite 2500
20
    Los Angeles, California 90017
21
   For Third-Party Defendant Conejo Enterprises, Inc.
22
    Ashleigh A. Danker
23
    Weiss, Scolney, Spees, Danker
         & Shinderman
24
    10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1095
25
   Los Angeles, California 90067
26
27
```

```
For Third-Party Defendant Crown Disposal Company. Inc.
    Gary A. Meyer
    Mark E. Elliott
    Parker, Milliken, Clark, O'Hara
         & Samuelian
    333 South Hope Street, 27th Floor
 4
    Los Angeles, California 90071-1488
 5
 6
    Los Angeles County Metropolitan Tranportation Authority
    De Witt W. Clinton, County Counsel Ronald W. Stamm, Deputy County Counsel
 7
    Office of the County Counsel
 8
    One Gateway Plaza, 24th Floor
 9
    Los Angeles, California 90012
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
```